



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/737,369	12/16/2003	Debashish Purkayastha	I-2-0519.1US	5377

24374 7590 09/16/2004

VOLPE AND KOENIG, P.C.
DEPT. ICC
UNITED PLAZA, SUITE 1600
30 SOUTH 17TH STREET
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103

EXAMINER

D AGOSTA, STEPHEN M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2683

DATE MAILED: 09/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/737,369	Applicant(s) PURKAYASTHA ET AL.	
	Examiner Stephen M. D'Agosta	Art Unit 2683	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 16 December 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____. | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____. |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
Gorsuch US 6,526,034 and further in view of Vialen et al. US 6,577,868 and
Raychaudhuri et al. US 6,023,461 (hereafter Gorsuch, Vialen and Raychaudhuri).

As per **claims 1, 10 and 16**, Gorsuch teaches a wireless transmit/receive unit (WTRU) [and/or handover method or ASIC] for communications in at least two types of wireless networks (title, abstract and figure 6 shows transceivers for CDMA and 802.11, #140 and #240) comprising:

A protocol engine having at least two wireless communications interfaces, each interface configured for linking with a different type of network (figure 6 shows protocol converters for CDMA and WLAN, #130 and #230);

Each interface configured to pass control signals and user communications data to a common application processing component (figure 6 shows common application processing component being the interface #120 and communications device #110);

An application broker configured to monitor control signaling between the lower layer protocol engine and the upper layer application processing component (figure 6 #120 and protocol converters #130/#230 are interpreted as providing "application brokering" as they interface between the user's application and the physical layer transmission medium. The examiner also notes that the transceivers sit at layers 1 and 2 of the OSI model and the protocol converters/interface sit at layers 3 to 7 and therefore provide "brokering");

Art Unit: 2683

A communications broker having a data buffer and defining a switchable data path for user data between the upper layer application processing component and a selected one of the wireless interfaces (C7, L46-56 teaches generic buffering in order to hold data/packets in order to "properly receive" subframes); and

But is silent on The application broker associated with the communications broker to control data buffering and data path switching by the communication broker such that data flowing to a first wireless interface of the protocol engine during a communication session is buffered while a wireless link is established with a different second wireless interface of the protocol engine for the communication session and the communication broker data path is switched to the second wireless interface and the buffered data is released therethrough after a wireless link is established for the communication session via the second wireless interface.

The examiner notes that switching between two disparate communications systems can dictate excessive setup time be incurred in order to change between the systems and determine where communications stopped on the one system and where it needs to be restarted on the second system (ie. similar to a hard handoff).

Vialen teaches that user data transmitted through said point-to-multipoint connection could be buffered, such that data loss during handover can be prevented (C2, L44-47). Hence, one skilled would use buffering at the BTS (or mobile) during a handover that is controlled by software (eg. an application broker) while a link is established with a different second wireless system. Further to this point is **Raychaudhuri** who teaches a Handoff method wherein both the switch and the mobile buffer cells and the mobile controls when the handoff will occur (title). He states that "One of the problems with the current design of mobile ATM networks is that data cells being transmitted to and from a mobile terminal can sometimes become lost, duplicated or placed out of order when the mobile terminal is handed off from one base station to another" (C2, L24-28) and that buffering occurs in the mobile (see claim 8, step g).

With further regard to claim 10, Gorsuch teaches handover from CDMA to a high-speed 802.11 system.

With further regard to claim 16, Gorsuch teaches a circuit for dual-mode connectivity (figure 6, #101).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Gorsuch, such that application broker associated with the communications broker to control data buffering and data path switching by the communication broker such that data flowing to a first wireless interface of the protocol engine during a communication session is buffered while a wireless link is established with a different second wireless interface of the protocol engine for the communication session and the communication broker data path is switched to the second wireless interface and the buffered data is released therethrough after a wireless link is established for the communication session via the second wireless interface, to provide means for temporarily storing data while a connection to a second wireless system is established to prevent loss of transmitted data.

As per **claims 2 and 11-12**, Gorsuch/Vialen teaches claim 1/10/10 wherein one of the wireless interfaces is for UMTS and the other is configured for 802.11 WLAN (figure 6 shows CDMA and WLAN).

As per **claims 3, 8, 13-14, 17 and 20**, Gorsuch/Vialen teaches claim 2/1/10/10/16 wherein the communication broker data path is configured to transport packet switched data (figure 6 shows a communications device #110 that can connect to either CDMA or WLAN systems which can support packet transfer). The examiner notes that "predetermined criteria" is interpreted as handing-off if/when a high-speed 802.11 link is available (see Gorsuch abstract).

As per **claim 4**, Gorsuch/Vialen teaches claim 2 wherein a data path is defined for circuit-switched data between the upper layer application processing component and the UMTS wireless interface (figure 6 shows communications device can connect to a CDMA system that supports circuit-switched data transfer).

Art Unit: 2683

As per **claims 5 and 9**, Gorsuch/Vialen teaches claim 2/1 wherein the application broker includes a link monitor and is configured to trigger the initiation of a wireless link through a different wireless interface based upon monitored link data meeting predetermined criteria (figure 6 shows WLAN detection circuit which detects a WLAN BTS and would be selected if/when high-rate data transfer is desired, C10, L44-50).

As per **claims 6, 15 and 18**, Gorsuch/Vialen teaches claim 5 wherein the application broker includes an application session manager configured to control the signaling during the establishment of a wireless link through a different wireless interface and an interworking unit configured to maintain and convert context information for transmission during the establishment of a wireless link through a different wireless interface (figure 6 shows an interface #120 and protocol converters #130/#230 that provide OSI layer 3 to 7 support which inherently comprises session management (at the session layer) and any/all data conversions required to interface to the transceivers which provide RF connectivity to CDMA or 802.11).

As per **claims 7 and 19**, Gorsuch/Vialen teaches claim 6/18 **but are silent on** wherein the application broker includes a SIM reader configured to read a SIM containing the user's identity.

The examiner takes Official Notice that SIM cards (and readers) are well known in the art of cellular engineering and are typically used to store user data such as identity, profile, etc.).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Gorsuch/Vialen, such that the application broker includes a SIM reader configured to read a SIM containing the user's identity, to provide means for the system to read stored SIM card data for security/verification purposes along with user profile information.

Art Unit: 2683

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

1. Neumann et al. US2002/0173338
2. Abrol et al. US 2002/0068570
3. Arimitsu US 2001/0009853
4. Kakani et al. US 6,775,533
5. Byrne US 5,737,703
6. Hinz et al. US 5,991,626
7. Kalliokulju et al. US 6,385,451
8. Jayapalan et al. US 5,561,844

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. D'Agosta whose telephone number is 703-306-5426. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bill Trost can be reached on 703-308-5318. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stephen D'Agosta



WILLIAM TROST
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600